PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

HOFFMANN & EITLE, MÜNCHEN PATENTANWALTE RECHTSANWALTE

20.01.2005

29.05.06/whe

ges.14.3.06 CSR

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year)

13.03.2006

Applicant's or agent's file reference

107 308 a/se

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/000536

HOFFMANN. EITLE

Arabellastrasse 4

ALLEMAGNE '

D-81925 München

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

20.01.2004

Applicant

To:

SORIN GROUP DEUTSCHLAND GMBH ET AL.

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary report on patentability and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016 Authorized Officer

Rasmussen, S

Tel. +31 70 340-4595



PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 107 308 a/se		FOR FURTHER AC	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
International application No. PCT/EP2005/000536		International filing date (20.01.2005	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 20.01.2004	
	national Patent Class M1/36	ification (IPC) or n	ational classification and IF	PC	
Appli SOF	icant RIN GROUP DEU	JTSCHLAND (GMBH ET AL.		
1.	•	•	eliminary examination re nsmitted to the applican	•	this International Preliminary Examining e 36.
2.	This REPORT co	onsists of a total	of 7 sheets, including th	nis cover sheet.	
3.	This report is also	o accompanied t	by ANNEXES, comprising	ıg:	
	a. \square sent to the	e applicant and t	o the International Bure	au) a total of shee	ts, as follows:
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Administrative Instructions).					
	beyor	s which superse nd the disclosure lemental Box.	de earlier sheets, but when the international app	nich this Authority c lication as filed, as	onsiders contain an amendment that goes indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier) sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in to Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).				orm only, as indicated in the Supplemental	
4.	This report conta	ins indications re	elating to the following it	ems:	
	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the opi	inion		•
	☐ Box No. II	Priority			
	☑ Box No. III	•	nent of opinion with rega	rd to novelty, inven	tive step and industrial applicability
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of		-	
	⊠ Box No. V		ement under Article 35(2 tations and explanations	,	elty, inventive step or industrial atement
•	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docume	ents cited		•
	Box No. VII	Certain defects	in the international app	lication	
	☑ Box No. VIII	Certain observa	ations on the internation	al application	
Date	Date of submission of the demand			Date of completion	of this report
16.	16.11.2005			13.03.2006	
	Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:			Authorized Officer	salisches Petentem.
European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016			Bas	Villeneuve, J-M Telephone No. +31	70 340-

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/000536

AP20 Rec'o PCT/PIO 14 JUL 2006

_					
	Box No. I	Basis of the report			
	With regard filed, unless	to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was otherwise indicated under this item.			
	which inte	port is based on translations from the original language into the following language, s the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: rnational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) lication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) rnational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)			
2.	have been t	ith regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which the been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this port as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):</i>			
	Description,	, Päges			
	1-5	as originally filed			
	Claims, Nun	nbers			
	1-11	as originally filed			
	Drawings, S	heets			
	1/3-3/3	as originally filed			
	□ a sequ	ence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing			
3.	☐ the ☐ the ☐ the ☐ the	nendments have resulted in the cancellation of: description, pages claims, Nos. drawings, sheets/figs sequence listing (specify): table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):			
١.	had not bee Supplemen the the the the the	port has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below an made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the tal Box (Rule 70.2(c)). description, pages claims, Nos. drawings, sheets/figs sequence listing (specify): table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):			
	* Tf i+	em 4 applies some or all of these sheets may be marked "symparaded"			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/000536

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
1.	The	ne questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- evious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
		he entire international application,				
	\boxtimes	claims Nos. 1-6	los. 1-6			
		because:				
	\boxtimes	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 1-6 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):				
		see separate sheet				
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
	\boxtimes	no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. 1-6				
		the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Anni C of the Administrative Instructions in that:				
		the written form	☐ has not been furnished			
			☐ does not comply with the standard			
		the computer readable form	☐ has not been furnished			
			☐ does not comply with the standard			
		the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.				
		See separate sheet for further	details			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/000536

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

8-10

No:

No:

Claims

Claims

7, 11

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

7-11

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

7-11

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

AP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 14 JUL 2006 International application No.

PCT/EP2005/000536

Re Item III.

Claims 1-6 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT). The subject matter of claims 1-6 is a method of therapeutic treatment of the human or animal body. The method of claims 1-6 is also regarded as chirurgical because it implies the steps of inserting at least a cannula in the circulatory system of the patient.

Re Item V.

1. The following documents are referred to in this communication; the numbering will be adhered to in the rest of the procedure:

1/27: EP-A-1 374 929 (JOSTRA AG) 2 January 2004 (2004-01-02)

レクタ, US-6 337 049 (TAMARI Y.) 8 January 2002 (2002-01-08)

型: US-B-6 632 189 (FALLEN ET AL.) 14 October 2003 (2003-10-14)

√04: US-B1-6 524 267 (ELGAS ROGER J. ET AL.) 25 February 2003 (2003-02-25)

2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 7

As far as it can be understood (see Item VIII below), the subject-matter of the independent claim 7 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT, and therefore the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT are not met. The reasons are as follows:

The document D1 discloses (See figure and paragraphs 15-17, the references in parentheses applying to this document):

An apparatus for extracorporeal oxygenation of a patient's blood during cardiopulmonary bypass surgery, the apparatus comprising:

- venous line means (12) for receiving venous blood from a patient;
- bubble sensing means (10), arranged at or connected to said venous line means, for

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/000536

detecting bubbles in the venous blood received from said patient;

- air filter means (11), connected to the venous line means and arranged downstream of said bubble sensing means, for separating air from blood, the air filter means comprising an air chamber for receiving air and means for diverting the air entering said air filter means into said air chamber;
- blood oxygenating means (15) for oxygenating blood after passing through the air filter means;
- arterial line means (16) for returning blood to the arterial system of said patient after the blood has been oxygenated by the blood oxygenating means;
- first pump means (14), defining a first vacuum, for pumping blood through said venous line, said air filter means, said blood oxygenating means and said arterial line means; and
- second pump means (17), defining a second vacuum, to draw air from the air chamber of said air filter means only when bubbles are detected in the venous blood by the bubble sensing means.

The subject-matter of claim 7 is therefore not new.

3 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 8-11.

- 3.1 As far as it can be understood (see Item VIII below), the subject-matter of dependent claim 11 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. The reasons being the same as for claim 7 (see paragraph 3. above).
- 3.2 The subject-matter of the dependent claims 8, 9, 10 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.
- Claim 8: the same feature is disclosed in the document D2, where it is used for the same purpose as in claim 8 (see D2, figure 1 and paragraph 62).
- Claim 9: see D3, paragraphs 50,51 and figure 6.
- Claim 10: see D4, paragraph 16 and figure 1. See also paragraph 3.2 above.

Re Item VIII.

The application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, because the independent claim 7 is not clear:

The following feature in the apparatus of claim 7 relates to a method of using the apparatus rather than clearly defining the apparatus in terms of its technical features. The intended limitations are therefore not clear from this claim, contrary to the requirements of Article 6 PCT:

to draw air from the air chamber of said air filter means only when bubbles are detected in the venous blood by the bubble sensing means.

It is further not clear from the wording if this feature is indeed included in the apparatus or merely optional (compare with claim 5).

Dependent claim 10 is also unclear because it relates to a method of using the apparatus rather than clearly defining the apparatus in terms of its technical features.

Dependent claim 11 is also unclear because it cannot relate to a method and depend on claims 7-10.